# Chicago Daily Law Bulletin'

Volume 161, No. 248

## Financial advisers for domestic relations courts

he new year is right around the corner and so is the effective date for the amendments to the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act.

Among the most significant change in Illinois domestic relations law and practice is allowing domestic relations courts to seek advice from financial experts or other professionals. 750 ILCS 5/503(1).

The Illinois legislature amended Section 503 of the IMDMA, now adding Paragraph (1), a procedure for a court to seek advice from financial experts or other professionals. This new power granted to courts facing challenging financial issues is likely to cause a major change in procedures used by lawyers and courts handling pretrial negotiations and litigation concerning financial issues.

Introducing a neutral appointed by a court to give it advice regarding Section 503 issues is likely to create a practice and process for financial issues similar to that developed over the years when courts routinely sought the advice of professionals in custody disputes (750 ILCS 5/604(b)).

#### Scope of Section 503(1)

The court's power to seek financial advice extends to the entirety of Section 503 dealing with all aspects of property and debt disposition. In addition, the court may seek advice incident to motions for temporary restraining orders under Section 501 to have an expert or professional administer payment and accounting for the divorcing parties' living and business expenses pendent light if their assets are subject to a temporary restraining order (750 ILCS 5/501(a)(2)(i)).

The court's appointed "financial experts or other professionals" are likely to be a court's eyes, ears and adviser concerning countless issues arising under Section 503.

Consider that an adviser may be appointed to give advice to the court when tracing assets and making recommendations to the court concerning nonmarital property claims.

The court may choose to have an adviser concerning retirement plans, both on value and its provisions; an adviser may look into all aspects of stock options, restricted stock, estate planning transactions, dissipation of assets, tracing, the mechanics for dividing or distributing complex assets, tax effects of possible divisions of assets and sales or other dispositions courts may order in distributing assets and liabilities.

The adviser could also advise the court on the necessity for selling or retaining property, the accuracy of business valuations and the reliability of a business' financial records and statements.

The adviser could also be called upon to give the court an opinion on whether the increase in value of a nonmarital asset has been substantial and if the owner was adequately compensated during the marriage for work done increasing its value.

Advisers could also give opinions whether there were legitimate business purposes for a non-marital corporation to retain earnings. The range of subjects that the advisers may speak to is as broad as the creativity of lawyers making property claims and defenses under Section 503.

Likely change in handling property disputes

The process and techniques used by the lawyers and courts regarding Section 604(b) custody experts have been developed over many years and are well-known. Once the Section 604(b) expert is appointed, all efforts to impress and convince the merits of the client's position are focused on the 604(b) expert.

That expert can talk to the parties, speak to nonparties



Donald C. Schiller is a senior partner at Schiller, DuCanto & Fleck LLP. He is a former president of the Illinois State Bar Association, chair of the ABA Family Law Section and chair of the ISBA Family Law Section. In addition to his active practice, he teaches Divorce Practice at the University of Chicago Law School. He can be reached at dschiller@sdflaw.com

having knowledge and read or view anything submitted by both sides. Although the parties may hire their own custody evaluators, there is always the feeling that the court's evaluator will have much more influence in the court's decision-making.

Many times recommendations from the 604(b) expert become the foundation for the custody or visitation settlement ultimately achieved. Frequently, courts defer considering the parties' Section 604.5 motions to appoint their own evaluators until after a Section 604(b) report is submitted.

When dealing with Section 503(l) advisers, there is no reason to believe that the practice will differ greatly from with Section 604(b) experts. The attention given to the Section 503(l) adviser may even be greater because the Section 503(l) adviser may deal with many more issues than does the custody adviser.

The former could be asked to appraise an asset, value a business as well as advise the court concerning the parties' respective appraisers or business evaluator's opinions. Although the adviser is not the judge, the parties will probably

treat the adviser like a judge due to the influence they will have on the judge.

Since the adviser may look at a broad range of issues as suggested in this article, it is fair to assume that the adviser will require complete information like the discovery sought by lawyers. If a party is resisting producing complete discovery, the adviser could expedite the determination of the discovery issue by advising the court concerning their own need for discovery.

#### Who are the advisers?

Obviously, the adviser will greatly influence the resolution of financial issues. They may be perceived as being the person making the final decision of the outcome on financial issues. However, the terms used in Section 503(l) for "Financial Expert" or "Other Professionals" are not defined in the IMDMA.

Since the adviser could be anyone the judge trusts and has confidence in related to the subject for which advice is sought, it is crucial for the courts to be cautious in selecting an adviser. They must be carefully vetted for independence and integrity as well as for their knowledge and experience related to the assignment.

Also, there is no limitation on the number of advisers to whom the courts may turn. Therefore, the court is not limited to appointing a single omnibus adviser to deal with all of the financial issues of a case. There may be different issues requiring different talents and, therefore, different advisers. Obviously, substantial costs may be connected with the advisers.

Section 503(l) authorizes the court to allocate its costs and fees between the parties using criteria the court considers appropriate. Also, the initial allocation is subject to reallocation under Section 508(a) at the conclusion of the case. Although adviser fees may be substantial, the adviser's recommendation,

much like those from Section 604(b) custody expert's recommendations, may lead the parties to an earlier settlement compared to the time it may have taken and greater costs if there is a contested trial.

Such a result would be well worth the adviser's fees. Like Section 604(b) advice to the court in custody cases, the Section 503(l) adviser must give their advice in writing and it must be made available to counsel.

If a trial is necessary, the adviser would be the court's witness, subject to cross-examination at trial and subject to pretrial discovery.

### Conclusion

Precedent tells us that a Section 604(b) custody evaluator's opinion in and of itself is entitled to no more weight in trial than the opinions of the experts retained by the parties. However, anecdotally there have been few custody decisions contrary to the 604(b) expert's recommendation.

Nor do many published reviews of court opinions show instances where a custody or removal decision has been contrary to the independent expert's recommendation.

Hence, there is every reason to believe this same dynamic will occur with Section 503(l) advisers. Therefore, it is important that lawyers and judges work together to ensure those selected to give advice to the court have not only the requisite expert knowledge but the same integrity we expect from our courts.